



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/815,778

04/02/2004

Gordana Vunjak-Novakovic

103248-010501

8172

67395 7590 08/21/2009
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
200 PARK AVE.
P.O. BOX 677
FLORHAM PARK, NJ 07932

EXAMINER

SINGH, SATYENDRA K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1657

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/21/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/815,778	Applicant(s) VUNJAK-NOVAKOVIC ET AL.	
	Examiner SATYENDRA K. SINGH	Art Unit 1657	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SATYENDRA K. SINGH. (3) RALPH SELITO (ATTORNEY OF RECORD).

(2) SANDY SAUCIER (PRIMARY EXAMINER). (4) ERIC E. BLEICH (ATTORNEY OF RECORD).

Date of Interview: 18 August 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: PROPOSED NEW CLAIM.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hart et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorneys of record Mr. Ralph Selito and Eric Bleich discussed the proposed amendment to the pending claims (in the form of a newly proposed claim). The 103a rejection of record was discussed in light of the cited art of Hart et al, and the new limitations of the newly proposed claim. Applicants may provide arguments and/ or amendments to further the prosecution of this case.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sandra Saucier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651	
---	--